TRANSMITTAL		Application No	Application Number Filing Date		10/646,473	
		Filing Date			08-21-2003	
FORM		First Named In	First Named Inventor		Jamie Wakeam	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Group Art Uni	Group Art Unit		2625	
		Confirmation 1	Confirmation Number		8478	
		Examiner Nan	Examiner Name		SINGH, SATWANT K	
Sent via Express Mail Label No.:	Attorney Dock	Attorney Docket Number		305154.01		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittel Form   Fee Transmittel Form   Fee Attached   Amendment / Reply (pages)   After Final   After Final   After Washington   Extension of Time Request   Express Abandonment Request   Information Disclosure Statement with Form PTO-SBRO8A (pages)   Response to Notice to File Missing Parts Under 37 CPR 1,52 or 1,5	Drawi   Decla   No   No   No   No   No   No   No   N	why Executed ( p copy from a prior a 7 CFR 1.63(d)) ( ) sing-related Papers on on to Convert to a Pa- cation	pages)		Appeal Commun Appeals and Inte Appeal Commun (Appeal Notice, Beief Proprietary Infor Status Letter Application Data Request for Com	After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and interferences Appeals Communication to TC Opposition, Bark Exployed and Proprietary Information Status Letter Application Data Sheet Roquest for Corrected Filing Receipt Return Receipt Posteard
CERTIFICATE OF MALINGO OR TRANSMISSION THE PROPERTY OF REAL READ TO THE READ T	General Power of Attorney (SB80)  37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement  Terminal Disclaimer  Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)  Remarks  The Commissioner is fees required, or credit any off of the above identified		nent ssioner is he credit any o	overpayments, to Deposit A		Fransmittal on Statement of ance
SICNA	FUDE O	FATTODNI	EV OD A	· CI	ENT	
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OR AGENT						
Name of Attorney or Agent		han M. Rau	1 40,400			
Date November 17, 2008	Tel.	425-706-6882		F	csimile No.	
Assignee Name:		MICROSOFT CORPORATION ONE MICROSOFT WAY REDMOND, WA 98052				
Customer Number:		22971				

SINGH

 First Named Inventor:
 Jamie Wakeam
 Attorney Docket No.:
 305154.01

 Application No.:
 10/646,473
 Group Art Unit:
 2625

 Filed:
 August 21, 2003
 Confirmation Number:
 8478

 Customer No.:
 22971
 Examiner:
 SATWANT K

Title: ELECTRONIC INK PROCESSING

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1460 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims in the subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action.

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the

Page 1 of 2

Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to file.

	Respectfully submitted, Microsoft Corporation
Date: November 17, 2008	By:_/Nathan M. Rau/
	Nathan M. Rau, Reg. No.: 45,466
	Direct telephone 425-706-6882
	Microsoft Corporation
	One Microsoft Way
	Redmond WA 98052-6399

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

November 17, 2008	/Noemi Tovar/
Date	Noemi Tovar